IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAY GILL,) NO. 1:12-CV-01011 AWI GSA
Plaintiff, v. DAVID SIMPSON, an individual, et al., Defendants.) ORDER DISMISSING) DEFENDANT GLAYTON) LOGOMASINI WITHOUT) PREJUDICE IN LIGHT OF) STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL)
	,

On August 21, 2012, the Plaintiff filed a stipulation of dismissal of Defendant Clayton Logomasini only, without prejudice, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii).

Rule 41(a)(1), in relevant part, reads:

(A) . . . the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (I) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment; or (ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared . . . (B) Unless the notice or stipulation states otherwise, the dismissal is without prejudice.

Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily after service of an answer by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties, although an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan Asso., 884 F.2d 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir. 1986). Once the stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in open court, no order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Eitel, 782 F.2d at 1473 n.4. "Caselaw concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) is clear that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and does not

1	require judicial approval." <u>In re Wolf</u> , 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989); <u>Gardiner v. A.H.</u>	
2	Robins Co., 747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); see also Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG, 377	
3	F.3d 133, 139 (2d Cir. 2004); Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074, 1077	
4	(9th Cir. 1999) cf. Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997) (addressing	
5	41(a)(1)(i). A plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, so long as the subject	
6	defendant has not filed an answer or motion for summary judgment. Pedrina v. Han Kuk Chun,	
7	987 F.2d 608, 609-10 (9th Cir. 1993).	
8	Because Plaintiff has filed a voluntary dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) as to only	
9	Defendant Clayton Logomasini, without prejudice, that is signed by all parties who have made an	
10	appearance, this case has terminated as to this Defendant only. See Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(ii)	
11	<u>In re Wolf</u> , 842 F.2d at 466; <u>Gardiner</u> , 747 F.2d at 1189; <u>see also Gambale</u> , 377 F.3d at 139;	
12	Commercial Space Mgmt, 193 F.3d at 1077; cf. Wilson, 111 F.3d at 692.	
13	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Clayton Logomasini is	
14	DISMISSED from this case without prejudice in light of Plaintiff's filed and properly signed	
15	Rule 41(a)(1) voluntary dismissal.	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17 18	Dated: August 28, 2012	
19	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
- /		